

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/619,932 07/15/2003		Rebecca L. Engel	038469-0210	6826		
26371	7590 09/29/2006		EXAMINER			
	LARDNER LLP		THANH, LOAN H			
	TISCONSIN AVENUE EE, WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3763			
			DATE MAILED: 00/20/200	DATE MAILED: 00/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		•	Application N	О.	Applicant(s)				
			10/619,932		ENGEL ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit	T T			
			LoAn H. Thanl		3763				
The M/ Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MOI - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE M IS may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this comm eply is specified above, the maximum strithin the set or extended period for reply id by the Office later than three months a m adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will by statute ca	TE OF THIS ((a). In no event, he apply and will explanation	COMMUNICATION DWEVER, may a reply be time ore SIX (6) MONTHS from to the become ARANDONE	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c				
Status									
2a)⊠ This act 3)□ Since th	is application is in condition naccordance with the praction	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	ction is non-f e except for f	ormal matters, pro		e merits is			
// `\ <u></u>		in the english	-4:						
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Pape 9) ☐ The spect 10) ☐ The draw Applicant Replacer	cification is objected to by the ving(s) filed on is/are: t may not request that any object the drawing sheet(s) including	e Examiner. a) acceptation to the drawn	election required or b) of awing(s) be he	rement. bjected to by the E Id in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsp	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (P dosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) I Date	TO-948)	5) [Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 07/17/06 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The rejection as applied by Pagan (US 6,024,730) and Goode (US 5,507,751) has been overcome in response to applicant's amendment filed 07/17/06.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 3 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, applicant is to replace "catheter" with ---cannula---- in the preamble to provide proper antecedent basis. See amended claims filed on 10/17/05 by applicant.

In claim 3, there appears to be a typo. Examiner suggests to amend "and" to ---are---. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3,4,6,8,10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quinn (US 5,201,723).

Quinn discloses a venous cannula comprising a body having proximal and distal end, having a wall defining a lumen , a plurality of inlet apertures 16 or 22 (see figures 1-2, 7) and which allows fluid flow through the lumen and wherein each aperture has a longer major axis and shorter minor axis such that the longer major axis is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the lumen as shown in figures 1-2 and 7. Quinn teaches the invention provides advantages to reduce kinking.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-8,10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginsburg (US 5,180,364).

Art Unit: 3763

Ginsburg discloses a cannula comprising a body having a proximal and distal end, a lumen therethrough, and a plurality of inlet apertures in the wall of the cannula to allow fluid flow through the lumen. See figures 1A and 10. Ginsburg disclosed the apertures/passageways maybe any type of opening, such as holes, slits vents and the like which afford communication between the central lumen 16 and a region exterior to the cannula/catheter 10. However, Ginsburg does not explicitly show these openings to have a major and minor axis, which is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the cannula/catheter 10 in figures 1a or 10. Ginsburg shows a different embodiment figure 1B, which has a plurality of holes of any shape size and position along the distal end 22 of the catheter body 214. The passageways are also disclosed as randomization in configuration as well as location. Specifically, Ginsburg shows an aperture which is oval, eye-shaped, or a shape having two corners having a major axis which is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the catheter or cannula. Ginsburg discloses it is well within the scope of one of ordinary skill to modify invention with the alternatives to the size shape and location. See column (col.) 5, lines 14-34, col. 6, lines 52-55, and col. 7, lines 55-64. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of catheter/cannula to provide oval apertures which are taught by Ginsburg in the uniform configuration (evenly distributed) with a major axis that is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the catheter as mere alternatives of different types, size or shape of apertures. Further, with respect to claims 7 and 13, it would have been obvious to modify the rows to provide them in an offset position for the same reasons as above in

Art Unit: 3763

order to provide a plurality of fluid flow to the target tissue for providing emergency perfusion of a desired vessel.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-8,10-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

With respect to the term "venous cannula", the Examiner has given the term "venous cannula" patentable weight in interpreting the claim language. Applicant is reminded that applicant is claiming a device claim and the name of the device does not impart structurally distinguishing features when interpreted with the prior art of record. It is given the broadest interpretation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LoAn H. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4966. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. (First Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LoAn H. Thanh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3763

LT